

In the above-mentioned Office Action, the Examiner states that claims 1-7 contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1 described in Fig. 4.

Embodiment 2 described in Fig. 5.

Embodiment 3 described in Fig. 6.

Embodiment 4 described in Fig. 7.

In particular the Examiner stated that:

These Embodiments describe different structures. They are distinct and not obvious from each other.


Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be allowable.

Applicants hereby elects embodiment 4 described in Figure 7. Claims readable on Figure 4 are claims 1, 3, 5 and 7.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant's attorney believes that this application is in condition for allowance. Should any unresolved issues remain, Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

December 2, 2004
Date


Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr.
Sawyer Law Group LLP
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 30,801
(650) 493-4540